CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

WILMINGTON, DE

The Nemours Building 1007 North Orange St. P.O. Box 2207 Wilmington, DE 19899 TEL: (302) 658 9141 FAX: (302) 658 5614 WEB: www.cblh.com

Jeffrey B. Bove Partner

TEL (302) 888-6241

FAX: (302) 656-9072

EMAIL jbove@cblh.com

REPLY TO Wilmington Office

REDACTED VERSION: March 12, 2007 March 5, 2007

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Gregory M. Sleet United States District Court 844 North King Street Lock Box 19 Wilmington, DE 19801

REDACTED VERSION DI 229

RE: Talecris Biotherapeutics, Inc. & Bayer Healthcare LLC v. Baxter International, Inc. and Baxter Healthcare Corp., C.A. No. 05-349-GMS

Dear Judge Sleet:

We write in response to Defendants' March 2, 2007 letter. Defendants' effort to belatedly inject inequitable conduct issues into this case is unaided by <u>Cargill, Inc. v. Canbra Foods, Ltd.</u>, F3d ______, 2007 U.S. App. LEXIS 3222 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 14, 2007). First, <u>Cargill</u> does not excuse Defendants' inexplicable delay in proffering an amendment six months after the Scheduling Order deadline.

Second, Defendants' March 2, 2007 letter extends the fatal flaw in the proposed amendment because it accuses "Bayer" of misconduct and not any named individual. The controlling law, however, requires that inequitable conduct be pled with specificity (Fed. R. Civ. P. 9(b)) and that the pleading sets forth which "individual" is accused of which improper conduct (37 C.F.R. §1.56). Defendants have not done this, as their current submission confirms.

Third, while <u>Cargill</u> demonstrates the futility of the proposed amendment, it also does nothing to overcome Defendants' abject failure to establish "good cause",

REDACTED

We refer the Court to our pending motion for disqualification for a detailed discussion. See D.I. 76, D.I. 107.

The Honorable Gregory M. Sleet March 5, 2007 Page 2

REDACTED

For these reasons and as set forth in our Brief in Opposition (D.I. 176) supported by our motion to disqualify (D.I. 76), Cargill is inapposite and does not alter the unexcused delay and futility of the proposed amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jeffrey B. Bove

JBB/llw

Jeffrey B. Bove (#998)

Philip A. Rovner, Esquire (via e-filing & e-mail) cc: Susan Spaeth, Esquire (via e-mail) Bradford J. Badke, Esquire (via e-mail)

526490